

# **Evaluation of Hospital Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation Using HOT-Fit Method in Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital Medan**

**Sri Lestari Ramadhani Nasution<sup>1</sup>, Marza Putra Rahman<sup>2</sup>, Ermi Girsang<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Master of Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, Prima Indonesia University, Medan, Indonesia

\*E-mail : srilestariramadhaninasution@unprimdn.ac.id

## **ABSTRACT**

Implementation of HMIS in Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital Medan has been used since 2018 to support services in improvement, effectiveness, and work efficiency. However, there are problems such as unstable networks, sometimes errors when operating, and not being integrated directly with the BPJS system, resulting in delays in service from patient registration and data input to the patient discharge process. Evaluation is needed to measure successful implementation using the HOT-Fit (Human, Organization, and Technology Fit) method. The aim is to assess factors that positively influence HMIS's successful implementation by completing Google forms (56 respondents) and in-depth interviews (6 respondents). The mixed method is used with concurrent embedded strategy and PLS-SEM with SmartPLS v.4.1.0.6 to analyze. Results showed that user satisfaction has a 79.9% influence, showing that independent variables affect user satisfaction. The higher user satisfaction, the greater HMIS's use. Users have felt the ease of the system, despite an unstable network, frequent errors, and inadequate computer specifications. Increasing the bandwidth, initially 50mbps to 100mbps, so the network is stable, error problems can be overcome, and increasing computer specifications to run the application correctly if an update is necessary.

**Keywords:** HMIS, HMIS Evaluation, HOT-Fit, User Satisfaction

## **INTRODUCTION**

High-quality patient care depends on good documentation of medical records, health status, current medical conditions, and treatment plans. Implementing a hospital management

information system (HMIS) is important to integrate various existing information during the service process. HMIS is an information system specifically designed to assist the management and planning of health programs (WHO, 2008).

One of the theoretical frameworks used to evaluate information systems in the healthcare sector is the HOT-Fit (Human, Organization, and Technology-Fit) Model (Yusof et al., 2008, p. 386). Like other hospitals, Rasyida Medan Special Kidney Hospital has implemented HMIS with the Dtech Telemedia vendor for 6 years starting in 2018. However, there are obstacles from users, systems, and organizational support. From complaints from HMIS users regarding system operational functions such as the HMIS application, where sudden errors often occur during use, HMIS does not respond when used, making the data insufficient to be input. Evaluation of HMIS implementation in Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital has never been measured in terms of its success.

This research evaluates the implementation of HMIS at the Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital in Medan using the HOT-Fit method with a quantitative and qualitative approach (mixed method). The general objective is to analyze what variables need to be evaluated in this implementation.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

Rapid technological developments in the information sector have brought about significant changes in society, nation, and state life. In this regard, the role and function of data and information services in hospitals, as one of the data and information management units, should be able to keep up with various existing adjustments and changes.

Hospital management requires reliable, accurate, current, safe, and relevant information from both clinical and administrative perspectives (Glandon, Slovensky, and Smaltz, 2020). The HMIS implementation process involves technical and non-technical factors. It is important to understand that errors in managing and applying information technology and information systems can cause the failure of information technology and the business itself (O'Brien J, 2017).

Research conducted at the Outpatient Installation of RSD Dr. Soebandi Jember regarding the implementation of HMIS can be said to be not optimal. As the perception of administrative

officers in implementing HMIS shows, they still need guidance and additional supporting facilities (Anggraeni & Supriyadi, 2019, p. 42).

Another study analyzing the implementation of the hospital management information system (HMIS) at TPPRJ used the Utaut method at Tk.III Hospital Dr. Reksodiwiryo Padang found that the implementation was running smoothly, with the HMIS at TPPRJ very helpful and more efficient than a manual system. However, in its implementation, some officers are still not responsible and disciplined. This is because management has no support and motivation specifically for users (Putra & Vadriasmi, 2020, p. 65).

The benefits of information systems in an organization require in-depth evaluation. This is because the success of information system implementation is caused by many factors, not only by using the latest hardware, software, and sophisticated technology. Organizational and social issues are the main components of information systems that must be considered (Rumasukun, Akbar, Rismawati, and Hasan, 2022:20695).

Evaluation of an information system is a real effort to determine the actual conditions of implementation. HOT-Fit has three important components: the human component, which consists of system users and user satisfaction; the organizational component, which consists of structure and environment; and the technological component, which consists of system quality, information quality, and service quality. The last component is a net benefit (Yusof et al., 2008).

Research conducted by Abda'u, Winarno, and Henderi (2018:48) and Adila and Dahtiah (2020:849) also used a modified HOT-Fit Model. The HOT-Fit model can evaluate the system based on these three main factors. It can answer what variables influence the success of implementing HMIS and make it an assessment criterion carried out at Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital; then, problems can be found.

## **METHODS**

This research was conducted from January 2024 to August 2024 at Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital, Medan. The research used mixed methods with a Concurrent Embedded Strategy, a cross-sectional research design using a survey approach, and a qualitative descriptive research design with a focused interview approach. The research sample used in this study was nonprobability sampling using total sampling, the total sample was 56 respondents who filled out the questionnaire and six representative respondents from the Head of Cashier Unit

(I1), Head of Pharmacy Unit (I2), Deputy Head II of Internal Medicine (I3), Registration Staff (I4), Inpatient Executive Nurse (I5) and IT Staff (I6) for interviews.

The research instruments used were a Likert scale, Google Forms questionnaire, and in-depth interviews. This research variable is divided into three endogenous variables (System Usage (SU), User Satisfaction (US) and Net Benefit (NB)) and seven exogenous variables (System Quality (SQ), Information Quality (IQ), Service Quality (SQ), Organizational Structure (OS), Organizational Environment (OE), Facility Condition (FC) and Leadership Support (LS)).

The analysis used in this research uses Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) based on Partial Least Square (PLS) for quantitative data analysis. Data analysis is using testing tools with SmartPLS software version 4.1.0.6. At the same time, the data produced from interviews is descriptive in the form of words. The data results were collected, and analysis was conducted to combine and compare so qualitative data could strengthen, expand, and invalidate quantitative data.

## RESULTS

### Respondent Characteristics

**Table 1.** Respondent Characteristics

| Characteristics | Amount | Percentage |
|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Gender          |        |            |
| Male            | 17     | 30.4%      |
| Female          | 39     | 69.6%      |
| Age             |        |            |
| 20-25           | 12     | 21.4%      |
| 26-30           | 24     | 42.8%      |
| 31-35           | 16     | 28.6%      |
| 36-40           | 2      | 3.6%       |
| 41-45           | 1      | 1.8%       |
| < 50            | 1      | 1.8%       |

**Table 2.** Respondent Characteristics (continued)

| Characteristics      | Amount | Percentage |
|----------------------|--------|------------|
| Education level      |        |            |
| High School          | 0      | 0%         |
| Diploma (D1/D3)      | 22     | 39.3%      |
| Bachelor Degree (S1) | 32     | 57.1%      |
| Master Degree (S2)   | 1      | 1.8%       |
| Other                | 1      | 1.8%       |

Table 1. shows that 69.6% of HMIS users are female. The age of HMIS users was 26-30 years old with a percentage of 42.8% and 31-35 years old (8.6%). The education level of respondents was dominated by Bachelor's Degree (S1) at 57.1%, D1/D3 at 39.3%, 1.8% of respondents had a Master's degree, and none had a high school education.

## Quantitative Data Analysis

### Measurement Model Test Results (Outer Model)

The tests carried out two tests, namely the validity test and the reliability test.

### Convergent Validity

Loading Factor and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) values were checked to verify convergent validity. Table 2 presents the results of the convergent validity test.

**Table 3.** Convergent Validity Test Results

| Variable                           | Code | Loading Factor | Description |
|------------------------------------|------|----------------|-------------|
| System Quality (SQ)                | SO1  | 0.917          | Valid       |
|                                    | SQ2  | 0.951          | Valid       |
|                                    | SQ3  | 0.813          | Valid       |
|                                    | SQ4  | 0.851          | Valid       |
| Information Quality<br>(IQ)        | IO1  | 0.880          | Valid       |
|                                    | IO2  | 0.928          | Valid       |
|                                    | IO3  | 0.867          | Valid       |
|                                    | IO4  | 0.883          | Valid       |
|                                    | IO5  | 0.848          | Valid       |
| Service Quality (SQ)               | SO1  | 0.827          | Valid       |
|                                    | SQ2  | 0.886          | Valid       |
|                                    | SO3  | 0.942          | Valid       |
| System User (SU)                   | SU1  | 0.868          | Valid       |
|                                    | SU2  | 0.891          | Valid       |
|                                    | SU3  | 0.922          | Valid       |
|                                    | SU4  | 0.956          | Valid       |
| User Satisfaction (US)             | US1  | 0.864          | Valid       |
|                                    | US2  | 0.902          | Valid       |
|                                    | US3  | 0.877          | Valid       |
|                                    | US4  | 0.906          | Valid       |
|                                    | US5  | 0.886          | Valid       |
| Organizational                     | OS1  | 0.850          | Valid       |
|                                    | OS2  | 0.901          | Valid       |
| Structure (OS)                     | OS3  | 0.866          | Valid       |
|                                    | OS4  | 0.897          | Valid       |
|                                    | OS5  | 0.772          | Valid       |
| Organizational<br>Environment (OE) | OE1  | 0.866          | Valid       |
|                                    | OE2  | 0.811          | Valid       |
|                                    | OE3  | 0.899          | Valid       |

**Table 4.** Convergent Validity Test Results (continued)

| Variable                   | Code | Loading Factor | Description |
|----------------------------|------|----------------|-------------|
| Facility Condition<br>(FC) | FC1  | 0.905          | Valid       |
|                            | FC2  | 0.823          | Valid       |
|                            | FC3  | 0.900          | Valid       |
| Leadership Support<br>(LS) | LS1  | 0.938          | Valid       |
|                            | LS2  | 0.937          | Valid       |
|                            | LS3  | 0.967          | Valid       |
| Net Benefits (NB)          | NB1  | 0.882          | Valid       |
|                            | NB2  | 0.906          | Valid       |
|                            | NB3  | 0.875          | Valid       |
|                            | NB4  | 0.782          | Valid       |
|                            | NB5  | 0.940          | Valid       |

The conclusion from the convergent validity test shows that the variable indicators in Table 2. are declared valid or suitable for use as research instruments.

**Table 5.** AVE Value Test Results

| Variable                 | AVE Value | Description |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| System Quality           | 0.897     | VALID       |
| Information Quality      | 0.769     | VALID       |
| Service Quality          | 0.777     | VALID       |
| System User              | 0.785     | VALID       |
| User Satisfaction        | 0.787     | VALID       |
| Organizational structure | 0.817     | VALID       |
| Organizational           | 0.739     | VALID       |
| Facility Condition       | 0.772     | VALID       |
| Leadership Support       | 0.828     | VALID       |
| Net Benefits             | 0.737     | VALID       |

In Table 3. it can be seen that the AVE value test results for all question indicators on this variable are declared valid or suitable for use as research instruments.

### Discriminant Validity

It can be tested by testing the cross loading Fornell-Larcker criterion as shown in Table 4.

**Table 6.** Discriminant Validity Test Results (Cross Loading Fornell-Larcker's)

|           | LS           | FC           | IQ           | SQ           | US           | SQ           | OE           | NB           | SU           | OS           |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| <b>LS</b> | <b>0.947</b> |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| <b>FC</b> | 0.747        | <b>0.877</b> |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| <b>IQ</b> | 0.659        | 0.658        | <b>0.882</b> |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| <b>SO</b> | 0.577        | 0.725        | 0.840        | <b>0.886</b> |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| <b>US</b> | 0.747        | 0.697        | 0.852        | 0.787        | <b>0.887</b> |              |              |              |              |              |
| <b>SO</b> | 0.492        | 0.486        | 0.761        | 0.647        | 0.587        | <b>0.904</b> |              |              |              |              |
| <b>OE</b> | 0.625        | 0.623        | 0.586        | 0.761        | 0.669        | 0.464        | <b>0.859</b> |              |              |              |
| <b>NB</b> | 0.799        | 0.696        | 0.754        | 0.700        | 0.805        | 0.626        | 0.775        | <b>0.879</b> |              |              |
| <b>SU</b> | 0.588        | 0.430        | 0.635        | 0.585        | 0.783        | 0.423        | 0.607        | 0.713        | <b>0.910</b> |              |
| <b>OS</b> | 0.716        | 0.757        | 0.807        | 0.760        | 0.840        | 0.528        | 0.710        | 0.782        | 0.606        | <b>0.858</b> |

Table 4. shows that AVE root value is greater than the correlation between the construct and other constructs. Based on this, the result is there are no problems in the discriminant validity test.

### Reliability Test

The value is considered reliable and passes the reliability test if the Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability test values are greater than 0.70.

**Table 7.** Reliability Test Results

| Variable | Cronbach's alpha | Composite reliability (rho_c) | Description |
|----------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| LS       | 0.943            | 0.963                         | RELIABLE    |
| FC       | 0.853            | 0.909                         | RELIABLE    |
| IQ       | 0.928            | 0.946                         | RELIABLE    |
| SQ       | 0.861            | 0.916                         | RELIABLE    |
| US       | 0.932            | 0.949                         | RELIABLE    |
| SQ       | 0.926            | 0.947                         | RELIABLE    |
| OE       | 0.826            | 0.894                         | RELIABLE    |
| NB       | 0.925            | 0.944                         | RELIABLE    |
| SU       | 0.930            | 0.951                         | RELIABLE    |
| OS       | 0.910            | 0.933                         | RELIABLE    |

The test results seen in Table 5. show that each variable has a Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability value greater than 0.70. It can be concluded that all question are reliable or suitable.

### Structural Model Test Results (Inner Model)

It was carried out by testing the R-Square value and the relevance of effects between research constructs by testing the path coefficient value.

### R-Square

R-Square explain the influence of the independent variable on the dependent variable if it has a significant effect (Ghozali, 2021). The results of R-Square test are shown in Table 6.

**Table 8.** R-Square Results

| Variable                   | R-Square |
|----------------------------|----------|
| User Satisfaction          | 0.799    |
| System User                | 0.615    |
| Organizational structure   | 0.577    |
| Organizational Environment | 0.503    |
| Net Benefits               | 0.762    |

Table 6 shows that the R-Square value of the user satisfaction variable has the highest influence, with a percentage of 79.9%, which shows that the independent variable influences user satisfaction, while the remaining 20.1% is influenced by factors outside the research model.

### **Interview Results**

Question : What are the obstacles when using the HMIS application? (HUMAN)

I1's answer : Errors often occur starting from the basics to the bookkeeping stage

I2's answer : The network usually disappears and sometimes the program errors

I3's answer : When patient volume increases, loading time is take a long process

I4's answer : The problem with the network

I5's answer : Sometimes the application closes by itself

I6's answer : Network, because bandwidth does not match needs

Question : Have regular evaluations been carried out regarding the use of HMIS?  
(ORGANIZATION)

I1's answer : There is no regular evaluation

I2's answer : There isn't any yet

I3's answer : Do not know

I4's answer : Sometimes the vendor comes, but there is no regular evaluation

I5's answer : There isn't any yet

I6's answer : The vendor comes once or twice a month, if there are problems

Question : Does network instability often occur when using HMIS? (TECHNOLOGY)

I1's answer : Network stability is also sometimes an obstacle when using HMIS

I2's answer : The network is not always stable

I3's answer : The network is unstable, resulting in long loading times

I4's answer : Yes, often



I5's answer : Sometimes it used to take a long time

I6's answer : Yes, because there is not enough bandwidth

Question : How do you think the speed of HMIS can help complete work? (Fit)

I1's answer : This is quite helpful too, but sometimes human error can slow down work

I2's answer : Compared to manual method, it is definitely faster

I3's answer : It has helped, but there are still problems with the things mentioned before

I4's answer : Apart from errors and the need for other applications, HMIS is great

I5's answer : It has helped, because it feels faster and easier

I6's answer : Good enough, but bandwidth needs to be increased

### Hypothesis Test Results

The results of the hypothesis test are shown in Table 7.

**Table 9. Hypothesis Test Results**

| Hypothesis | Path    | P-Value | Description |
|------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| H1         | SQ → SU | 0.277   | REJECTED    |
| H2         | SQ → US | 0.209   | REJECTED    |
| H3         | IQ → US | 0.024   | ACCEPTED    |
| H4         | SQ → US | 0.221   | REJECTED    |
| H5         | SQ → OS | 0.000   | ACCEPTED    |
| H6         | US → SU | 0.000   | ACCEPTED    |
| H7         | OS → US | 0.038   | ACCEPTED    |
| H8         | OS → OE | 0.000   | ACCEPTED    |
| H9         | LS → SU | 0.463   | REJECTED    |
| H10        | US → NB | 0.041   | ACCEPTED    |
| H11        | FC → NB | 0.196   | REJECTED    |
| H12        | OS → NB | 0.332   | REJECTED    |
| H13        | OE → NB | 0.017   | ACCEPTED    |

The significance value used if the p-value  $\leq$  significance level (alpha= 5%), then the results are proven to be significant. The results of hypothesis test in Table 7. shows that: (1) system quality has a positive effect on system users with a p-value of  $0.277 > 0.05$ , which means the hypothesis is rejected. (2) System quality has a positive effect on user satisfaction with a p-value of  $0.209 > 0.05$  (rejected). (3) Information quality has a positive effect on user satisfaction with a p-value of  $0.024 < 0.05$  (accepted). (4) Service quality has a positive effect on user satisfaction with a p-value of  $0.221 > 0.05$  (rejected). (5) Service quality has a positive effect on organizational structure with a p-value of  $0.000 < 0.05$  (accepted). (6) User

satisfaction has a positive effect on system users with a p-value of  $0.000 < 0.05$  (accepted). (7) Organizational structure has a positive effect on user satisfaction with a p-value of  $0.038 < 0.05$  (accepted). (8) Organizational structure has a positive effect on the organizational environment with a p-value of  $0.000 < 0.05$  (accepted). (9) Leadership support has a positive effect on system users with a p-value of  $0.463 > 0.05$  (rejected). (10) User satisfaction has a positive effect on net benefits with a p-value of  $0.041 < 0.05$  (accepted). (11) Facility conditions have a positive effect on net benefits with a p-value of  $0.196 > 0.05$  (rejected). (12) Organizational structure has a positive effect on net benefits with a p-value of  $0.332 > 0.05$  (rejected). (13) The organizational environment has a positive effect on net benefits with a p-value of  $0.017 < 0.05$  (accepted).

## **DISCUSSION**

HOT-Fit has three important components. By using the HOT-Fit model, the system can be evaluated based on these three main factors (Yusof et al., 2006). The HOT-Fit model can answer what variables influence the success of HMIS implementation and use it as an assessment criterion to find problems faced by HMIS users so that it runs optimally.

In this study, all of the variables pass the convergent validity test and discriminant validity test. The reliability test results for each variable concluded that all question indicators are reliable. The inner model was carried out by testing the R-squared value. The R-Square value for the user satisfaction variable influences 0.799 or 79.9%, which shows that the variables of system quality, information quality, service quality, and organizational structure in this study influence the dependent variable, namely user satisfaction, of 79.9%, while factors outside the research model influence the remaining 20.1%. Information quality positively affects user satisfaction with a p-value of  $0.024 < 0.05$ .

This is to research conducted by Nasution SW et al. (2023:18), Vantissaha et al. (2022:43), and Febrita et al. (2021:7), who state that information quality has a positive effect on user satisfaction. The quality of information from HMIS can provide satisfaction to users because it can make it easier for users to carry out daily tasks related to filling in HMIS. According to Yusof et al. (2006), the criteria to assess the quality of information are completeness, accuracy, timeliness, availability, relevance, consistency, and data entry. If the information quality criteria meet the requirements mentioned, then the information quality of an

information system can be good. The quality of HMIS at Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital is quite satisfying for users because information such as data entry is in line with the final stage if there is no human error.

Organizational structure positively affects user satisfaction with a p-value of  $0.038 < 0.05$ . The results are based on research conducted by Nasution SW et al. (2023:19) and Adila and Dahtiah (2020:850). Each leader has planned the implementation of HMIS at the Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital well, and related staff have received support.

The net benefit variable influences the net benefit, with an R-Square value of 0.762, indicating that user satisfaction, facility conditions, organizational structure, and organizational environment in this study influence the net benefit of 76.2%, while the remaining 23.8% is influenced by factors outside the scope of the model study.

User satisfaction positively affects net benefits with a p-value of  $0.041 < 0.05$ . This is by research conducted by Nasution SW et al. (2023:19), Adila and Dahtiah (2020:849), and Abda'u et al. (2018:54). The user's attitude towards the information system is a subjective criterion about how much the user likes the system used. The research results at the Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital show that users get direct benefits from user satisfaction in using HMIS, such as increased effectiveness and efficient service, which has a good influence. Hence, it will increase the quality of the hospital in the public eye.

The organizational environment positively affects net benefits with a p-value of  $0.017 < 0.05$ . The results are from research conducted by Khotimah and Lazuardi (2018:24), which states that the organizational environment positively affects net benefits. Everything that has a relationship with organization and information technology planning should be in line with each other to ensure that technology development is supported by the vision and mission of the organization itself (Khotimah, 2018, p. 24). Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital, in this case, has been good at resolving problems that occur, especially regarding HMIS, by providing IT staff who are always on standby to help HMIS users with specific problems. This benefit is felt directly by HMIS users and also speeds up the user's work.

## **CONCLUSION**

The success of implementing HMIS at the Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital in Medan is influenced by factors such as information quality, service quality, user satisfaction,

organizational structure, and organizational environment. The user satisfaction variable has an influence of 79.9% (R-square 0.799), which shows that the independent variables in this research affect user satisfaction. The higher user satisfaction, the more it will affect the use of HMIS.

Based on the results that have been described previously, suggestions that can be given for Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital include increasing bandwidth by 50mbps, from initially only 50mbps to 100mbps, so that the network is stable. Error problems can be overcome, and the current computer specifications can be increased. Hence, users can run the HMIS application properly if an application update is necessary. The results of this research can be used as a reference for future improvements and development of HMIS to improve service performance. Further research is needed on other factors that influence the implementation of HMIS to improve the quality of information systems.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Author would like to thank Mrs. Prof. Dr. Ermi Girsang., M.Kes., M.Biomed., AIFO, Mrs Dr. dr. Sri Lestari Ramadhani Nasution., M.K.M., M.Biomed as supervisors for author's material, Rasyida Kidney Special Hospital and Prima Indonesia University.

## REFERENCES

- Abda'u P.D., Winarno W.W. and Henry. 2018. Evaluation of the Implementation of SIMRS Using the Hot-Fit Method at RSUD Dr. Soedirman Kebumen. *INTENSIVE: Scientific Journal of Research and Application of Information Systems Technology* 2(1): 46-56.
- Adila R.N. and Dahtiah N. 2020. Evaluation of the Implementation of the E-Budgeting System with the Human Organization Technology Fit Model Approach in the West Java Provincial Government. *Proceedings of the 11th Industrial Research WorSQhop and National Seminar* 11(1): 847-853.
- Anggraeni M and Supriyadi I. 2019. Implementation of the Hospital Management Information System (SIMRS) in the Internal Medicine Outpatient Installation at RSD Dr. Soebandi Jember. *Cahaya Ilmu Scientific Magazine* 1(1): 37-44.
- Febrita H, Martunis, Syahrizal D, Abdat M and Bakhtiar. 2021. Analysis of Hospital Management Information Systems with the Human Organization Technology Fit Model. *Indonesian Journal of Health Administration* 9(1): 1-10.
- Ghozali I. 2021. *Partial Least Squares Concepts, Techniques and Applications Using the SmartPLS 3.2.9 Program for Empirical Research* (3rd ed). Semarang: Diponegoro University Publishing Agency.

- Glandon G.L., Slovensky D.J. dan Smaltz D.H. 2020. *Information Technology for Healthcare Managers* (9<sup>th</sup> ed). Health Administration Press. England.
- Khotimah A and Lazuardi L. 2018. Evaluation of the Rajawali Citra Yogyakarta Hospital Management Information System Using the Human Organization Technology Fit (HOT-Fit) Model. *Journal of Information Systems for Public Health* 3(2): 19-26.
- Nasution SW, Chairunnisa and Ginting CN. 2023. Hospital Management Information System Implementation Assessment Using HOT-FIT Model in Langsa General Hospital Aceh, Indonesia. *Bandung Medical Magazine* 55(1): 13-20.
- O'Brien J. 2017. *Introduction to Information Systems* (16th ed). The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Putra D.M. and Vadriasmi D. 2020. Analysis of the Implementation of the Hospital Management Information System (SIMRS) at TPPRJ Using the UTAUT Method at TK.III Hospital DR. ReSQodiwiryong Padang. *Administration & Health Information Journal* 1(1): 55-67.
- Rumasukun M.R., Akbar M.A., Rismawati and Hasan S. 2022. Analysis of Utilization of Hospital Management Information System (SIMRS) in Ibnu Sina Hospital YW-UMI Makassar. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal* 5(3): 20688-97.
- Vantissaha D., Azizah A.H. and Arifin S. 2022. Assessing Hospital Management Information Systems Success Using Human Organization and Technology Fit Model. *Applied Information Systems and Management (AISM)* 5(1): 37-44.
- World Health Organization. 2008. *Framework and Standards for Country Health Information Systems* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed). Switzerland :Health Metrics Network.
- Yusof M.M., Kuljis J., Papazafeiropoulou A, et al. 2008. An evaluation framework for health information systems: human, organization and technology-fit factors (HOT-fit). *Int J Med Inf* 77(6): 386-98.